

Bibliography: From 'Ultra-light' to Naturalistic IR Interaction.

By Peter Ingwersen

Royal School of LIS

Birketinget 6, DK 2300 Copenhagen S – Denmark

pi@db.dk

Methodological Issues – Research Design

- Baeza-Yates, R. & Ribeiro, R. (1999), Eds. *Modern Information Retrieval*. Wokingham, UK: Addison-Wesley.
- Belew, R.K. (2000). *Finding Out About: A Cognitive Perspective on Search Engine Technology and the WWW*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Borlund, P. (2003b): The IIR evaluation model: A framework for evaluation of interactive information retrieval systems. *Information Research*, 8(3), paper no. 152. [<http://informationr.net/ir/8-3/paper152.html>]
- Ericsson, K. A. & Simon, H. A. (1996). *Protocol analysis: Verbal reports as data* Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press. (2nd Rev.ed.)
- Fidel, R. (1993). Qualitative methods in information retrieval research. *Library and Information Science Research*, 15(3): 219-247.
- Fidel, R. & Soergel, D. (1983). Factors affecting online bibliographic retrieval: A conceptual framework for research. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 34(3): 163-180.
- Frankfort-Nachmias, C. & Nachmias, D. (2000). *Research Methods in the Social Sciences*. 6th ed. New York: Worth Publishers. xxi, 550 p
- Hawking, D., Voorhees, E., Craswell, N. & Bailey, P. (2000). Overview of TREC-8 Web Track. In: Voorhees, E.M. & Harman, D.K. (Eds.) *TREC 8 Proc.*: 131-150. <http://trec.nist.gov/pubs/>.
- Hersh, W., Pentecost, J. & Hickam, D. (1996). A task oriented approach to information retrieval evaluation. *JASIS*, 47(1): 50-56.
- Ingwersen, P. & Järvelin, K. (2005) *The Turn: Integration of Information Seeking and Retrieval in Context*. Berlin, Germany: Springer.
- Ingwersen, P. & Järvelin, K. (2007). On the holistic cognitive theory for information retrieval Drifting Outside the Cave of the Laboratory Framework. In: Dominich, S & Kiss, F. (eds.): *Studies in Theory of Information Retrieval*. Budapest: Foundation for Information Society:135-147.
- Ingwersen, P. & Willett, P. (1995). An introduction to algorithmic and cognitive approaches for information retrieval. *Libri*, 45: 160-177.
- Järvelin, K. (2007). An analysis of two approaches in Information Retrieval: From frameworks to study designs. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 58(7): 971–986.
- Kekäläinen, J. & Järvelin, K. (2002). User-oriented evaluation methods for information retrieval: A case study based on conceptual models for query expansion. In: Lakemeyer, G. & Nebel, B. (Eds.) *Exploring Artificial Intelligence in the New Millennium*. San Francisco: Morgan Kaufmann Publishers: 355-379.
- Saracevic, T., Kantor, P., Chamis, A. & Trivison, D. (1988). A study of information seeking and retrieving. I. Background and methodology. *JASIS*, 39(3): 161-176.
- Tague, J. & Schultz, R. (1988). Some measures and procedures for evaluation of the userinterface in an information retrieval system. *ACM SIGIR 1988 Proc.*: 371-385.
- Teevan, J., Alvarado, C., Ackerman, M. S. & Karger, D. R. (2004). The perfect search engine is not enough: A study of orienteering behavior in directed search. *ACM-CHI 2004*: 415-422.

Relevance & Performance Measure Issues in Interactive IR

- Barry, C. & Schamber, L. (1998). User's criteria for relevance evaluation: A cross situational comparison. *Information Processing & Management*, 34(2/3): 219-236.
- Borlund, P. (2003a). The concept of relevance in IR. *JASIST*, 54(10): 913-925.
- Cosijn, E. & Ingwersen, P. (2000). Dimensions of relevance. *Information Processing & Management*, 36: 533-550.
- Czerwinski, M., Horvitz, E. & Cutrell, E. (2001). Subjective duration assessment: An implicit probe for software usability. *IHM-HCI 2001 Conf. Proc.*: 167-170.
- Frokjaer, E., Hertzum, M. & Hormbaek, K. (2000). Measuring usability: Are effectiveness, efficiency, and satisfaction really correlated? *ACM CHI 2000*: 345-352.
- Järvelin, K. & Kekäläinen, J. (2000). IR evaluation methods for highly relevant documents. In: Belkin, N.J., Ingwersen, P. & Leong, M.-K. (Eds.), *ACM SIGIR 2000 Proc.*: 41-48.
- Järvelin, K. & Kekäläinen, J. (2002). Cumulated gain-based evaluation of IR techniques. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems (ACM TOIS)*, 20(4): 422-446.
- Käki, M. (2004). Proportional search interface usability measures. *NordiCHI 2004 Proc.*: 365-372.
- Kekäläinen, J. (2005). Binary and graded relevance in IR evaluations - Comparison of the effects on ranking of IR systems. *Information Processing & Management*, 41(5): 1019-1033.
- Kekäläinen, J. & Järvelin, K. (2002). Using graded relevance assessments in IR evaluation. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 53(13): 1120-1129.
- Larsen, B., Malik, S. & Tombros, A. (2006). The interactive track at INEX2005. In: Fuhr, N., Lalmas, M., Malik, S. and Kazai, G. (Eds.), *INEX 2005*. Berlin: Springer: 398-410.
- Lun, A. M. (2001). Measuring usability with the USE Questionnaire. *STC Usability SIG Newsletter*, 8(2), http://www.stcsig.org/usability/newsletter/0110_measuring_with_use.html (Checked June 15, 08).
- Nielsen, J. (2003). Usability 101: Introduction to usability. <http://www.useit.com/alertbox/20030825.html> (Checked June 15, 08).
- Salojärvi, J., Kojo, I., Simola J. & Kaski, S. (2003). Can relevance be inferred from eye movements in information retrieval? In: *Proc. of WSOM'03, Workshop on Self-Org. Maps*. Kyushu Institute of Technology, Kitakyushu, Japan: 261-266.
- Spink, A., Greisdorf, H. & Bateman, J. (1998). From highly relevant to not relevant: Examining different regions of relevance. *Information Processing & Management*, 34(5): 599-621.
- Vakkari, P. & Sormunen, E. (2004). The influence of relevance levels on the effectiveness of interactive information retrieval. *JASIST*, 55(11): 963-969.
- Voorhees, E.M. (1998). Variations in relevance judgments and the measurement of retrieval effectiveness. In: *ACM-SIGIR1998 Proc.*: 315-323.

Laboratory Experiments – IR Simulations

- Croft, W.B. & Thomson, R.H. (1987). I3R: A new approach to the design of document retrieval systems. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 38(6): 389-404.
- Dennis, S., Bruza, P. & McArthur, R. (2001). Web searching: A progress-oriented experimental study of three interactive search paradigms. *JASIST*, 53(2): 120-133.
- Magennis, M. & van Rijsbergen, C.J. (1997). The potential and actual effectiveness of interactive query expansion. In: Belkin, N. J., Narasimhalu, A. D. & Willett, P. (Eds.), *ACM SIGIR 1997 Proc.*: 324-332.
- White, R.W. (2006). Using searcher simulations to redesign a polyrepresentative implicit feedback interface. *Information Processing & Management*, vol. 42(5): 1185-1202.
- White, R.W., Ruthven, I. & Jose, J.M. & van Rijsbergen, C.J. (2005). Evaluating implicit feedback models using searcher simulations. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems*, 23(3): 325-361.

IR Interaction 'Ultra-Light'

- Belkin, N.J., Oddy, R.N. & Brooks, H. (1982). ASK for information retrieval: Part 2. *Journal of Documentation*, 38(3): 145-164.
- Harper, D. J., Koychev, I., Sun, Y. & Pirie, I. (2004). Within-Document retrieval: A user-centred evaluation of relevance profiling. *Information Retrieval*, 7: 265-290.
- Hsieh-Yee, I. (1998). Search tactics of Web users in searching for texts, graphics, known items and subjects: a search simulation study. *Reference Librarian*, 60: 61-85.
- Kelly, D., Dollu, V.D. & Xin Fu (2005). The loquacious user: A document-independent source of terms for query expansion. In: *ACM SIGIR2005 Proc.*: 457-464.
- Kelly, D. & Xin Fu (2007). Eliciting better information need descriptions from users of information search systems *Information Processing & Management*, 43(1): 30-46.
- Papaeconomou, P. Zijlema, A.F. & Ingwersen, P. (2008). Searchers' relevance judgments and criteria in evaluating Web pages in a learning style perspective. In: Borlund, P. et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of the Information Interaction in Context Conference (IIiX) 2008*. London. NY: ACM Press, ACM International Conference Proceeding Series; Vol. 348: 123-132.
- White, R.W., Jose, J.M. & Ruthven, I. (2006). An implicit feedback approach for interactive information retrieval. *Information Processing & Management*, 42(1): 166-190.
- White, R.W., Ruthven, I. & Jose, J.M. (2005a). A study of factors affecting the utility of implicit relevance feedback. In: *ACM SIGIR 2005 Proc.*: 57-64.

IR Interaction 'Light'

- Beaulieu, M. (1997). Experiments with interfaces to support query expansion. *Journal of Documentation*, 53(1): 8-19.
- Beaulieu, M. (2000). Interaction in information searching and retrieval. *Journal of Documentation*, 56(4): 431-439.
- Beaulieu, M. & Jones, S. (1998). Interactive searching and interface issues in the Okapi best match probabilistic retrieval system. *Interacting with Computers*, 10(3): 237-248.
- Belkin, N.J., Cool, C., Croft, W.B. & Callan, J.P. (1993). The effect of multiple query representations on information retrieval performance. In: Korfhage, R., Rasmussen, E. & Willet, P. (Eds.) *ACM SIGIR 1993 Proc.*: 339-346.
- Belkin, N.J., Cool, C., Koenemann, J., Ng, W.B. & Park, S. (1996). Using relevance feedback and ranking in interactive searching. In: Harman, D. (Ed.) *TREC 4 Proc.*: 181-210.
- Belkin, N.J., Cool, C., Koenemann, J., Park, S. & Ng, W.B. (1996). Information seeking behaviour in new searching environments. In: Ingwersen, P. & Pors, N.O. (Eds.), *CoLIS 2 Conf. Proc.*: 403-416.
- Belkin, N. J., Cool, C., Kelly, D., Lee, H.-J., Muresan, G., Tang, M.-C. & Yuan, X.-J. (2003). Query length in interactive information retrieval. *ACM SIGIR 2003 Proc.*: 205-212.
- Bilal, D. (2000). Children's use of the Yahoo!igans! Web search engine: I. Cognitive, physical and affective behaviors on fact-based search tasks. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 51(7): 646-665.
- Borlund, P. 2000. Experimental components for the evaluation of interactive information retrieval systems. *Journal of Documentation*, 56 (1): 71-90. (Also Research Design).
- Byström, K. & Järvelin K. 1995. Task complexity affects information seeking and use. *Inf. Proc. & Man.*, 31(2): 191-213.
- Borlund, P. & Ingwersen, P. (1998). Measures of relative relevance and ranked half-life: Performance indicators for interactive IR. In: *ACM SIGIR 1998 Proc.*: 324-331.
- Campbell, I. (2000). Interactive evaluation of the ostensive model using a new test collection of images with multiple relevance assessments. *Information Retrieval*, 2(1): 87-114.

- Cothey, V. (2002). A longitudinal study of World Wide Web users' information-searching behavior. *JASIST*, 53(2): 67-78.
- Efthimiadis, E.N. (1993). A user-centered evaluation of ranking algorithms for interactive query expansion. In: *ACM SIGIR 1993 Proc.*: 146-156.
- Joachims, T., Granka, L., Pan, B., Hembrooke, H. & Gay, G. (2005). Accurately interpreting click-through data as implicit feedback. In: *ACM-SIGIR 2005 Proc.*: 154-161.
- Koenemann, J. & Belkin, N. J. (1996). A case for interaction: A study of interactive information retrieval behavior and effectiveness. *SIGCHI 1996 Conference Proc.*: 205-212.
- Maglaughlin, K.L. & Sonnenwald, D.H. (2002). User perspectives on relevance criteria: A comparison among relevant, partially relevant, and not-relevant judgments. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 53(5): 327-342.
- Palmquist, R.A. & Kim, K.S. (2000). Cognitive style and on line database search experience as predictors of web search performance. *JASIST*, 51(6): 558-566.
- Petrelli, D., Beaulieu, M., Sanderson, M. Demetriou, G., Herring, P. & Hansen, P. (2004). Observing users, designing clarity: A case study on the user-centered design of a cross-language information retrieval system. *JASIST*, 55(10): 923-934.
- Puolamäki, K., Salojärvi, J. & Savia, E. (2005). Combining eye movements and collaborative filtering for proactive information retrieval. In: *ACM-SIGIR 2005 Proc.*: 146-153.
- Ruthven, I., Lalmas, M. & van Rijsbergen, K. (2002). Ranking expansion terms with partial and ostensive evidence. In: Bruce, H. et al. (Eds.), *CoLIS4 Conf. Proc.*: 199-220.
- Ruthven, I., Lalmas, M. & van Rijsbergen, K. (2003). Incorporating user search behaviour into relevance feedback. *JASIST*, 54(6): 529-549.
- Tombros, A., Ruthven, I., Jose, J.M. (2003). Searchers' criteria for assessing web pages. In: *ACM-SIGIR 2003 Proc.*: 96-104.
- White, R., Jose, J. & Ruthven, I. (2003). A task-oriented study of the influencing effects of query-biased summarization in web searching. *Information Processing and Management*, 39(5): 707-733.

Naturalistic IR Interaction

- Anick, P. (2003). Using terminological feedback for web search refinement: A log based study. In: *ACM-SIGIR 2003 Proc.*:88-95.
- Barry, C.L. (1994). User-defined relevance criteria: An exploratory study. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 45(3): 149-159.
- Bellotti, V., Ducheneaut, N., Howard, M. & Smith, I. (2003). Taking email to task: The design and evaluation of a task management centered email tool. *ACM SIGCHI 2003 Proc.*: 345-352.
- Bilal, D. (2002). Children's use of the Yahoo!igans! Web search engine: III. Cognitive and physical behaviors on fully self-generated search tasks. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 53(13): 1170-1183.
- Dumais, S., Cutrell, E., Cadiz, J. J., Jancke, G., Sarin, R. & Robbins, D. C. (2003). Stuff I've Seen: A system for personal information retrieval and re-use. *ACM SIGIR 2003*: 72-79.
- Fidel, R. et al. (1999). A visit to the information mall: Web searching behavior of high school students. *JASIS*, 50(1): 24-37.
- Ford, N., Miller, D. & Moss, N. (2001). The role of individual differences in Internet searching: An empirical study. *JASIST*, 52(12): 1049-1066.
- Hirsh, S.G. (1999). Children's relevance criteria and information-seeking on electronic resources. *JASIS*, 50(14): 1265-1283.
- Kelly, D. & Belkin, N. J. (2004). Display time as implicit feedback: Understanding task effects. *ACM SIGIR 2004 Proc.*: 377-384. (Longitudinal study).

- Nielsen, M L (2001). A framework for work task based thesaurus design. *Journal of Documentation*, 57 (6), 774-797.
- Nielsen, M L (2004). Task-based evaluation of associative thesaurus in real-life environment. *Proceedings of the ASIST 2004 Annual Meeting*: 437-447.
- Saracevic, T. & Kantor, P. (1988). A study of information seeking and retrieving. II. Users, questions, and effectiveness. *JASISe*, 39(3): 177-196.
- Saracevic, T. & Kantor, P. (1988). A study of information seeking and retrieving. III. searchers, searches, and overlaps. *JASIS*, 39(3): 197-216.
- Vakkari, P. (2001). Changes in search tactics and relevance judgments in preparing a research proposal: A summary of findings of a longitudinal study. *Information Retrieval*, 4(3/4): 295-310.
- Vakkari, P. & Hakala, N. (2000). Changes in relevance criteria and problem stages in task performance. *Journal of Documentation*, 56(5): 540-562.
- Wang, P. (1997). Users' information needs at different stages of a research project: A cognitive view. In: Vakkari, P. et al. (Ed.) *Information Seeking in Context (ISIC 1) Conf. Proc.*: 307-318.
- Wang, P., Hawk, W.B. & Tenopir, C. (2000). Users' interaction with World Wide Web resources: an exploratory study using a holistic approach. *Information Processing & Management*, 36(2): 229-251.